South Hams District Council

Consideration of Code of Conduct Complaint by The Audit and Governance (Hearing) Sub-Committee

Complaint Reference	RP/483529
	NI /400020
Date and Venue	31 October 2024
of Sub-Committee	South Hams District Council
Subject Member	Cllr D. Shepherd (not present)
Relevant Authority	Dartmouth Town Council
Complainant	Mr J. Wotton
	-
Investigating Officer	Mr S. Mansell (present)
Audit and Governance	Clir A. Nix (Chair)
(Hearing) Sub-	Clir J. Carson
Committee Members	Clir M. Steele
Independent Person	Mr G. Barnicott (not present)
Legal Representative	Mrs C. Bowen
Democratic Services	Mrs A. Boulter
Officer	
Purpose of the	To hold a hearing to consider the Investigating Officer's
Meeting	Report and make a final decision on whether the Subject
	Member has broken the Code and if so, decide whether
	any sanctions should be applied.
Decision on the	
	The presumption is that the meeting is held in public
meeting being open to the public	unless there are exceptional circumstances which
	outweigh the public interest in the meeting being public.
	As no representations were made to exclude the public,
	the Sub-Committee's decision was to hold the Hearing in
	public.
Materials considered	The Investigating Officer's report
by the Sub-Committee	 The Council's Procedure for dealing with Standards
	-
	Complaints and Hearings
	Councillor Response Form
	Any further material and information from the Subject
	Member or Investigating Officer
	Relevant Code of Conduct
	Views of the Independent Person
If relevant, Sub-	Cllr Shepherd had previously advised in the Councillor
Committee's decision	Response Form that she would be attending the Hearing
to hold the Hearing in	,
to note the nearing III	

the absence of the	but did not attand Aftar contacting Olly Shanhard bu
Subject Member	but did not attend. After contacting Cllr Shepherd by
Subject Member	phone from the Hearing, the Councillor confirmed that
	she could not attend but was happy for the Hearing to
	proceed in her absence.
Procedure to be	The Sub-Committee followed the Audit & Governance
followed	(Hearing) Sub-Committee Procedure which includes:
	That the Investigating Officer's report and any other
	written submissions or representations are taken as
	read
	 Investigating Officer explains his report conclusions.
	• The Investigating Officer calls any witnesses (none).
	Clarification by Subject Member or Sub-Committee
	from Investigating Officer.
	• The Subject Member says what she wishes to say.
	The Sub-Committee may ask questions of the
	Subject Councillor.
	• The Subject Councillor's agreed witnesses (none).
	 (If present) the Sub-Committee to ask the
	Independent Person for his views.
	The Sub-Committee retires to consider its decision.
Summary of	The Investigating Officer stated that this incident related
Investigating Officer's	to the morning of the 15 December 2023 and the
additional	complaint was made on 21 December 2023, so the
representations	incident was fresh in the Complainant's mind. The two
	witnesses had also subsequently made separate
	complaints and after speaking to the Monitoring Officer
	it was agreed to combine the complaints into one. In
	fairness to Cllr Shepperd when spoken to, it was several months after the event and memories do fade.
	However, on the balance of probabilities, the
	Investigating Officer concluded that there was an
	altercation on the 15 December 2023 with a robust
	exchange of views and bad language on the part of the
	Councillor, with a later call to the Town Council and a
	contemporaneous note of the conversation was made
	by Town Council officers.
	On the morning of 18 December 2023, the Subject
	Member visited the Town Council offices and challenged
	one of the witnesses regarding the booking of the car
	park. The Investigating Officer considered that the
	market event caught the Councillor by surprise, and she
	was not aware of what was happening and wanted
	access for charity work but became frustrated and

Summary of additional representations from Subject Member at the hearing Views of Independent Person	events then spiralled which then resulted in a breach of the code. The Investigating Officer confirmed that he had received a statement from the Clerk but not the Deputy Clerk. The Subject Member was not present, but the Sub- Committee considered all of the representations made by the Subject Member submitted with the Councillor Response Form. The Independent Person was previously consulted for his views and confirmed that he agreed with the Investigating Officer's findings that the Code had been broken.
The Sub-Committee's Decision	Having considered the materials set out above and after hearing from the Investigating Officer, the Sub- Committee accepted the Investigating Officer's finding that the Subject Member had broken the Code for the reasons set out in the Investigating Officer's report in relation to paragraphs 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1 and 5.1 of the Dartmouth Code of Conduct and noted in particular that the Subject Member had used unacceptable language and had not treated the public or Council officers with respect.
Decision on Sanctions	 The Sub-Committee decided that sanctions were appropriate and decided the following: To censure the Councillor for overstepping the mark with her behaviour, particularly in relation to the language used and not treating the public or Council officers with respect. To request that the Councillor give an unequivocal apology to the Complainant and the Council Officers; That the Subject Member undertakes refresher training on the Code of Conduct and Good Governance.
Copies of the Decision Notice have been sent to:	 Members of the Audit & Governance (Hearing) Sub- Committee The Subject Member The Complainant The Investigating Officer The Independent Person Parish Clerk

Signed by the Chairman of the Audit and Governance (Hearing) Sub-Committee

Signature:

Date: ...11/11/2024.....